

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,171	09/28/2001	Katsuyuki Yamada	65988 CCD	5507
7590 09/20/2005			EXAMINER	
COOPER & DUNHAM LLP			ANGEBRANNDT, MARTIN J	
1185 Ave. of the New York, N			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			1756	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/966,171	YAMADA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Martin J. Angebranndt	1756	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply		h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ate, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  ply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/3      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matte	•	ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14,17-25 and 27-32 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14,17-25 and 27-32 is/are rejected for the compact of the compa	awn from consideration.  d.  /or election requirement.  ner.	by the Evaminer	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ection is required if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apiority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	•
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 09/966,171 Page 2

Art Unit: 1756

1. The response of the applicant has been read and given careful consideration. The rejection below are new based upon the appreciation that the "dislocation linear velocity" is also known as the "uppermost recrystallization linear velocity" in the prior art. This is only found in the instant specification by comparing table 1 with the corresponding text at [0176]. This position is supported by the cited figures in Yamada et al. '025 and EP 1058249 discussed below when compared with figure 19 of the instant specification. The examiner notes that these were cited as part of the 11 pages of IDS filed by the applicant returned with the first office action. As these are new rejections, the response to the arguments will be brief. The secondary references Ando et al. '543 and Hisotomi et al. WO 99/38168 clearly teach the lead in area including embossed information on the linear velocities during recording (writing) and erasure (recrystallization). The recording information is considered to include the standard recording conditions for that medium and the maximum standard recording conditions including linear velocity. The information being embossed into/onto the substrate is held to meet the limitations discussed on page 12 of the response.

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-14,17-25 and 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The "dislocation linear velocity" should be replaced by "recrystallization upper linear velocity" based upon table 1 and the text at [0176] of the prepub.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,171 Page 3

Art Unit: 1756

The response of the applicant has been read and given careful consideration. Responses to the arguments are presented below.

In claim 13, "CiN" should be - - SiN- -.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-4 and 6-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. '025, in view of Ando et al. '543 and Hisotomi et al. WO 99/38168.

Yamada et al. '025 teaches optical recording media comprising AgInTeSb and AgInTeSbN which are recorded using 2x and 4x CD rates (2.4 and 4.8 m/s respectively) and table 2 gives the recrystallization upper limit velocities. These have the structure of a polycarbonate substrate, a first ZnS-SiO<sub>2</sub> layer, a recording layer, a second ZnS-SiO<sub>2</sub> layer, an Al reflective layer and a resin overcoating. Example 2 has a uppermost recrystallization of 4.7 m/s, which is 0.97 x V<sub>r</sub> (the recording velocity). Example 6 has a uppermost recrystallization of 4.8 m/s, which is equal to V<sub>r</sub> (the recording velocity). The dielectric layers may be various materials including SiC, SiN, SiO and SiO<sub>2</sub>, may be multilayered and may be formed by sputtering. Note that figure 9 is identical to figure 19 of the instant specification.

Ando et al. '543 disclose GeTeSb phase change optical recording media (RAM) (8/53-58). The lead-in area is disclosed as containing embossed information including linear velocity upon recording and erasure. (10/60-64)

Art Unit: 1756

Hisotomi et al. WO 99/38168 disclose GeTeSb phase change optical recording media (RAM) (page 6). The lead-in area is disclosed as containing embossed information including linear velocity upon recording and erasure. (paragraph bridging pages 7-8)

It would have been obvious to modify the examples of Yamada et al. '025 by including the various performance characteristics, such as uppermost recrystallization velocity (which is the highest velocity at which erasure takes placer) and the useful recorded velocities in the lead in area of the optical disc so that the disc is used under improper conditions as discussed by described Ando et al. '543 in column 8 and Hisotomi et al. WO 99/38168 on pages 6-8 as this is considered conventional to provide this information to the readout/recording system. Further, it would have been obvious to use a multilayers dielectric including SiN, Sio SiC or SiO<sub>2</sub> based up[on the direction to do so at 13/35-47 of Yamada et al.'025. The values of the uppermost recrystallization velocity are all fine for the double speed recording.

6. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. EP 1058249, in view of Ando et al. '543 and Hisotomi et al. WO 99/38168.

Yamada et al. EP 1058249 teaches optical recording media comprising AgInTeSb and AgInTeSb(N,C,Ge) which are recorded using 4x and 8x CD rates (4.8 and 9.6 m/s respectively) and table 1 gives the recrystallization upper limit velocities (ns should be m/sec as ns is a measure of time, not velocity and the range 5.2 to 9.9, is within the 5-10 m/sec described in the specification/abstract). These have the structure of a polycarbonate substrate, a first ZnS-SiO<sub>2</sub> layer, a recording layer, a second ZnS-SiO<sub>2</sub> layer, an Al reflective layer and a resin overcoating. Example 5 has a uppermost recrystallization of 48.8 m/s, which is 0.97 x V<sub>r</sub> (the recording velocity (9.6 m/s)). Example 8 has a uppermost recrystallization of 9.9 m/s, which is equal to

Application/Control Number: 09/966,171

Art Unit: 1756

Page 5

 $1.03 \times V_r$  (the recording velocity (9.6 m/sec)). The dielectric layers may be various materials including SiC, SiN, SiO and SiO<sub>2</sub>, may be multilayered and may be formed by sputtering [0056-0059]. Note that figure 9 is identical to figure 2 of the instant specification.

It would have been obvious to modify the examples of Yamada et al. EP 1058249 by including the various performance characteristics, such as uppermost recrystallization velocity (which is the highest velocity at which erasure takes placer) and the useful recorded velocities in the lead in area of the optical disc so that the disc is used under improper conditions as discussed by described Ando et al. '543 in column 8 and Hisotomi et al. WO 99/38168 on pages 6-8 as this is considered conventional to provide this information to the readout/recording system. Further, it would have been obvious to use a multilayers dielectric including SiN, Sio SiC or SiO<sub>2</sub> based up[on the direction to do so at 13/35-47 of Yamada et al.'025. The values of the uppermost recrystallization velocity are all fine for the double speed recording.

7. Claims 1-14, 17-25 and 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. JP 2000-079761, in view of Yamada et al. '025, Ando et al. '543 or Hisotomi et al. WO 99/38168.

Yamada et al. JP 2000-079761 (machine translation attached) in example 3 has a polycarbonate substrate, 103 nm ZnS-SiO<sub>2</sub> layer, a 16 nm recording Ag<sub>4.7</sub>Ga<sub>4.7</sub>Ga<sub>4.7</sub>Ge<sub>4.6</sub>Sb<sub>61.3</sub>Te<sub>24.7</sub> layer, a 41 nm ZnS-SiO<sub>2</sub> layer, a 200 nm reflective layer and a protective layer which is embraced by the language of claim 27. [0042]. Note Ag is considered an impurity.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the teachings/media of Yamada et al. JP 2000-079761 by embossing information concerning the linear velocities that the medium should be used at as taught by Ando et al. '543 or Hisotomi et al. WO 99/38168 and

Application/Control Number: 09/966,171

Art Unit: 1756

including the information on the uppermost recrystallization velocities taught by Yamada et al. '025 to enable the recording system to immediately use the medium at the proper powers and rotational rates without testing with a reasonable expectation of success.

Page 6

8. Claims 1-14, 17-25 and 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. JP 2000-079761, in view of Yamada et al. '025, Ando et al. '543 or Hisotomi et al. WO 99/38168.

Nobukuni et al. EP 1056077 in example 3 has a polycarbonate substrate, 100 nm ZnS-SiO<sub>2</sub> layer, a 20 nm recording Ga<sub>5</sub>Ge<sub>5</sub>Sb<sub>68</sub>Te<sub>22</sub> layer, a 40 nm ZnS-SiO<sub>2</sub> layer, a 250 nm reflective layer and a protective layer which is embraced by the language of claim 27. [0464]. The addition of various materials including In, Ga, Si, Sn, Pb, Pd, Pt, Zn, Au, Ag, Zr, Hf, V, Nb, Ta, Cr, Co, Bi, N,O,S and rare earths as impurities to improve the performance and the reliability of the recording layer is disclosed [0073-0074].

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the teachings/media of Yamada et al. JP 2000-079761 by embossing information concerning the linear velocities that the medium should be used at as taught by Ando et al. '543 or Hisotomi et al. WO 99/38168 and including the information on the uppermost recrystallization velocities taught by Yamada et al. '025 to enable the recording system to immediately use the medium at the proper powers and rotational rates without testing with a reasonable expectation of success.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 9. examiner should be directed to Martin J Angebranndt whose telephone number is 571-272-1378. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,171

Art Unit: 1756

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Martin J Angebranndt Primary Examiner Art Unit 1756

09/16/2005